

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/057,739	(01/25/2002	Michael L. Lehrman	ILIF01-00103	2798
23990	7590	06/30/2005		EXAM	INER
DOCKET		0	NGUYEN, TAI T		
P.O. DRAWER 800889 DALLAS, TX 75380				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ŕ				2632	
				DATE MAILED: 06/20/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	√						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/057,739	LEHRMAN ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Tai T. Nguyen	2632					
The MAILING DATE of this communicated for Reply	ation appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communing of the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) or if NO period for reply sis specified above, the maximum statuse of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may lication. days, a reply within the statutory minimum of tory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) M II, by statute, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered timely. ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 January 2002.						
,—	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice	e under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C	.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 41-73 is/are pending in the a	Claim(s) 41-73 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>41-71</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	on and/or election requirement.	•					
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>25 January 2002</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to I	by the Examiner. Note the attach	ned Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority do 2. Certified copies of the priority do 3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internations * See the attached detailed Office action	ocuments have been received. ocuments have been received ir f the priority documents have be al Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	n Application No en received in this National Stage					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		w Summary (PTO-413)					
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTG3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/07/02. 		lo(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 					

Application/Control Number: 10/057,739

Art Unit: 2632

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election with traverse of group II including claims 41-73 in the reply filed on March 17, 2005 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that provides no factual basics for asserting either independence of distinctness of these claims. This is not found persuasive because both groups claimed distinct inventive steps.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Double Patenting

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double

Application/Control Number: 10/057,739

Art Unit: 2632

patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

3. Claims 41-73 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-38 of U.S. Patent No. 6,703,939. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because both the present invention and patent claimed the same system that evaluating movement of a body relative to an environment using sensor to sense accelerative phenomena of the body relative to a three dimensional frame or reference in environment.

Conclusion

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Johnson et al. (US 5,879,309).

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tai T. Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-2961. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 7:30am-5:00pm..

Application/Control Number: 10/057,739

Art Unit: 2632

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Daniel J. Wu can be reached on (571) 272-2964. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Laingnyer

Tai T. Nguyen Examiner Art Unit 2632